Factors affecting phenological patterns of bombacaceous trees in seasonal forests in Costa Rica and Mexico¹

Jorge A. Lobo,² Mauricio Quesada,^{3,4} Kathryn E. Stoner,³ Eric J. Fuchs,² Yvonne Herrerías-Diego,³ Julissa Rojas,² and Guido Saborío²

²Universidad de Costa Rica, Escuela de Biología, San Pedro, Costa Rica; and ³Centro de Investigaciones en Ecosistemas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Campus Morelia, Apartado Postal 27-3 (Xangari), Morelia, Michoacán, México 58089

We compared phenological patterns of tree species of the family Bombacaceae in three seasonal forests in Mexico and Costa Rica whose dry seasons vary in duration and intensity. The objectives were to (1) determine intraspecific variation in phenology between sites in different geographic locations with different precipitation regimes, (2) compare interspecific phenological patterns within sites during one year, and (3) document seasonal pollinator use of floral resources at one site in relation to the flowering phenology of these species. To determine the sequence of phenological events in trees of the family Bombacaceae across three study sites, phenology of marked individuals was recorded every 2 wk from September 2000 through August 2001 for six species. To estimate the importance of bombacaceous species in the diet of nectarivorous bats, pollen samples were collected from the bodies or feces of bats once every 2 wk during flowering. Our study suggests that phenological patterns of the Bombacaceae family in Neotropical dry forests are mainly constrained by phylogenetic membership and adaptive selective pressures associated with competition for pollinators. Abiotic factors related to ultimate factors associated with competition for pollinators. This study is the first that evaluates the phenological pattern of species and genera of the same family at different latitudes in a similar life zone.

Key words: Bombacaceae; Costa Rica; Mexico; nectarivorous bats; Neotropics; phenology; plant reproduction; pollinator competition.

Phenological events in plants may be affected by various factors that can be classified as proximate or ultimate causes. Proximate causes principally include short-term environmental events that may trigger phenological patterns, while ultimate causes include evolutionary forces that are responsible for these patterns. Environmental cues such as changes in water level stored by plants (Reich and Borchert, 1984; Borchert, 1994; but see Wright and Cornejo, 1990; Wright, 1991), seasonal variations in rainfall (Opler et al., 1976), changes in temperature (Ashton et al., 1988; Williams-Linera, 1997), photoperiod (Leopold, 1951; Tallak Nilsen and Huller, 1981; van Schaik, 1986; Rivera et al., 2002), irradiance (Wright and van Schaik, 1994), and sporadic climatic events (Sakai et al., 1999) have been mentioned as proximate causes triggering phenological events in tropical plants. In forests with a marked dry season, changes in water availability from shifts in precipitation regimes and soil moisture have been proposed as the es-

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ Manuscript received 8 November 2002; revision accepted 4 February 2003.

The authors acknowledge support by grants from the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, México, to M.Q., K.E.S., and J.A.L. (31826-N), the Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico at the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México to M.Q., K.E.S., and J.A.L. (IN213999), the International Foundation for Science to M.Q. (D/2617-2), and the Vicerrectoría de Investigación from the Universidad de Costa Rica to J.A.L. (111-99-319). We thank Alvaro Miranda from the Fundación Cuíxmala A. C., and Maria Marta Chavarria, Felipe Chavarria, and Roger Blanco from the Guanacaste Conservation Area for logistical support; Dr. F. García-Oliva, S. Araiza, and the CUENCAS project for providing part of the weather data; and M. Munguía, A. Quesada, C. Palacios, K. A. O.-Salazar, R. C. R.-Fernández, and V. Rosas-Guerrero for valuable assistance in the field.

⁴ Author for reprint requests (e-mail: mquesada@oikos.unam.mx).

sential proximate and ultimate causes affecting phenological patterns (Reich and Borchert, 1984; Borchert, 1994).

In contrast, biotic factors, such as competition for pollinators or pollinator attraction (Robertson, 1895; Janzen, 1967; Gentry, 1974; Stiles, 1975; Augspurger, 1981; Appanah, 1985; Murray et al., 1987; Sakai et al., 1999), competition for seed dispersers (Snow, 1965), and avoidance of herbivory (Marquis, 1988; Aide, 1993; van Schaik et al., 1993; Coley and Barone, 1996) have been interpreted as ultimate causes responsible for phenological patterns in tropical species. Biotic factors have been suggested as affecting phenological synchronization between species within the same guild (Frankie et al., 1974; Stiles 1977).

Finally, some studies have suggested that plant phenology is principally constrained by phylogenetic membership or life form (Kochmer and Handel, 1986; Wright and Calderón, 1995). This hypothesis supports the idea that phylogenetic constraints are stronger than local selective pressure, thus members of the same taxa should have similar phenological patterns regardless of geographical location (Kochmer and Handel, 1986).

Few studies have evaluated proximate and ultimate causes of phenological patterns of plants in different environments or geographic regions and most of these studies compared communities (Frankie et al., 1974; van Schaik et al., 1993; Murali and Sukumar, 1994). Frankie et al. (1974) reported that greatest leaf fall corresponded to the driest periods both in wet (La Selva) and dry forests (Comelco) in Costa Rica. This study concluded that synchronization in flowering during particular seasons depended on climatic conditions. Nonetheless, they suggest that biotic factors, such as competition for pollinators, also may have a subtle but important influence on flowering phenology. Another study comparing two tropical dry forest sites with different annual rainfall in southern India concluded that phenological patterns depend upon precipitation (Murali and Sukumar, 1994). However, they recognized the importance of ultimate causes and suggested that in some species flowering phenology might be determined by competition for pollinators.

Comparative phenological studies of plants of the same taxa over different geographic locations that vary in climatic conditions or pollinator assemblages may help to establish the relative importance of different hypotheses to explain phenological patterns. These comparisons will determine if phenological variation is due to changes in climatic conditions between sites or are a response to biological factors such as competition for pollinators within sites. This study focuses on phenological patterns of tree species of the family Bombacaceae in three seasonal forests in Mexico and Costa Rica that vary in dry season duration and intensity. Our objectives include (1) determining intraspecific variation in phenology between sites in different geographic locations with different precipitation regimes, (2) comparing interspecific phenological patterns within sites during one year, and (3) documenting seasonal pollinator use of floral resources at one site in relation to the flowering phenology of these species.

If the intensity and duration of the dry season are the main factors affecting phenological patterns in the members of the family Bombacaceae, we expect to find significant differences in the onset of leaf flushing, flowering, and fruiting between sites within species. Conversely, if competition for pollinators is one of the most important factors in determining phenological events in the species studied, we expect to find a sequential pattern of peak flowering). Furthermore, if competition for pollinators is affecting the phenological patterns of Bombacaceae, we expect that the species of pollinators will be using the same bombacaceous plants within sites and that they will sequentially concentrate on the different species of plants depending on their flowering pattern.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study sites—This study was conducted in three seasonal tropical forests on the Pacific coast of Mesoamerica, which differ in precipitation regime, average temperature, and dry season duration. The first site was located in the central Pacific coast of Mexico within and surrounding the Chamela-Cuixmala Biosphere Reserve (ca. 19°30' N, 105°03' W). This reserve is located approximately between Puerto Vallarta, Jalisco, and Manzanillo, Colima, and has an extension of 13 200 ha (Ceballos and García, 1995). The predominant vegetation type in this area is tropical dry forest. Average annual rainfall is 707 mm with a dry season from November through June. The majority of the tree species are deciduous, abscising their leaves during the dry season and producing flowers (Bullock and Solís-Magallanes, 1990). This site will be referred to hereafter as Chamela-Cuixmala.

The second site was located in the northern Pacific coast of Costa Rica in the province of Guanacaste $(10^{\circ}45' \text{ N}, 85^{\circ}30' \text{ W})$ within the Guanacaste Conservation Area. This protected area is considered tropical dry forest according to the life zone classification system proposed by Holdridge (1969). Average annual rainfall is 1440 mm, and the dry season extends from December through April (Maldonado et al., 1995). Most of the tree species are deciduous and their flowering peak occurs during the dry season (Frankie et al., 1974). This site will be referred to hereafter as Guanacaste.

The third site was located in the Osa Peninsula in the southern Pacific coast of Costa Rica ($8^{\circ}26'$ N, $83^{\circ}30'$ W) within the Osa Conservation Area (ACO-SA). This area is considered tropical moist forest according to Holdridge

(1969), and average annual rainfall is 3000 mm in the lowlands and 4000 mm in the uplands, with a marked dry season from December to April (Hartshorn, 1983). Most tree species are evergreen, and only a few drop their leaves during specific intervals of the dry season (December–March; Allen, 1956). This site will be referred to hereafter as Osa.

Study species—We studied six species from the family Bombacaceae at the three study sites. All species studied possess hermaphroditic, chiropterophilic flowers that are principally visited by bats and sphingid moths (Alvárez and González-Quintero, 1970; Heithaus et al., 1975; Quiroz et al., 1983; Eguiarte et al., 1987; Haber and Frankie, 1989; Stoner et al., 2002, 2003). All species are characterized by compound digitate leaves that abscise prior to flowering in the dry season and produce new leaves in the rainy season. With the exception of *C. aesculifolia*, all fruits mature during the dry season after flowering (Frankie et al., 1974; Bullock, 1995). Fruits are five-valved, woody, and dehiscent with abundant kapok fibers surrounding the seeds for wind dispersal (Cascante-Marín, 1997; Avendaño-Reyes, 1998).

Ceiba aesculifolia is a Neotropical species distributed from Mexico to northern Costa Rica (Avendaño-Reyes, 1998). Trees may grow up to 20 m in height and have diameters of 20-50 cm. *Ceiba aesculifolia* has large (10-16 cm) flowers with five brown pubescent petals and five white filaments with yellow stamens. Styles are on average 15 cm long and surpass the stamens by 1-2 cm. Very little information exists on the reproductive biology of this species.

Ceiba grandiflora has only been reported from the states of Jalisco and Colima, Mexico, and thus appears to be an endemic tree species to the tropical dry forest in this region (Rose, 1895; Lott, 1993). This is a relatively short species (<12 m) with a diameter up to 60 cm. The actinomorphic flowers are cream-colored with petals approximately 9–12 cm long with five stamens with cream-colored filaments united at the base (Rose, 1895).

Ceiba pentandra is distributed in the Neotropics from México to the Amazonian basin and in the paleotropics throughout western Africa (Hartshorn, 1983). This species is a tall (>40 m) emergent deciduous tree that may reach diameters (dbh) of more than 200 cm. Flowers are small (3–3.5 cm) with five stamens around a protruding style with white to pink petals (Cascante-Marín, 1997).

Pachira quinata is a Neotropical species distributed in dry forests from Honduras to northern South America (Alverson, 1994). Trees may grow up to 35 m in height with diameters (dbh) over 100 cm. Flowers are medium-sized (5–10 cm) and protandrous, with multistaminate white filaments and a single central style (Quesada et al., 2001; Fuchs et al., 2003).

Pseudobombax ellipticum is found only in Mexico along the Gulf of Mexico from Tamaulipas and San Luis Potosí to the Yucatan Peninsula and on the Pacific side from Sinaloa to Chiapas. This species is relatively short (<12 m) with a diameter up to 60 cm. Flowers are multistaminate and actinomorphic, 13–15 cm long with light pink coloration on the base of the petals (Pennington and Sarukhán, 1998).

Pseudobombax septenatum is distributed from Nicaragua to Brazil (Quesada et al., 1997). Trees are usually tall (>30 m), and the trunk has green, vertical stripes separated by grayish bark. The trunk varies in diameter according to water storage. Flowers are large (15–20 cm) with a single central style. Light pink flowers are multi-staminate with denser stamens and thicker filaments than in other genera.

Phenology data—To determine the sequence of phenological events in trees of the family Bombacaceae across the three study sites, we recorded the phenology of marked individuals every 2 wk from September 2000 through August 2001 for all six species. Individuals were marked along access roads and permanent trails within all three sites. We used 55 individuals of *Ceiba aesculifolia*, 83 individuals of *Ceiba grandiflora*, 21 individuals of *Ceiba pentandra*, and 34 individuals of *Pseudobombax ellipticum* in Chamela-Cuixmala. In Costa Rica, we determined the phenology of 33 individuals of *C. aesculifolia*, 35 of *C. pentandra*, 98 of *Pachira quinata*, and 20 of *Pseudobombax septenatum* in Guanacaste. In Osa, phenological data was collected for 67 individuals of *C. pentandra* and 72 of *Pseudobombax septenatum*. In total, phenology data was collected for 518 trees across all three sites.

			Rainy seaso	ı	Dry season		
Site	Temperature ^a	Photoperiod (h)	Duration	Precipitation ^b (mm)	Duration	Precipitation ^b (mm)	
Chamela	29.3°–18.1°C	10.8–13.2	July-October	124	November–June ^c	6.9	
Guanacaste Osa	32.8°–21.8°C 31.9°–21.8°C	11.4-12.6 11.4-12.6	May–November April–November	175 383	December–April ^d December–March ^d	0.3 118.3	

TABLE 1. Climatic data from three seasonal forests studied in Mexico and Costa Rica for the years 2000–2002.

^a Average monthly values during respective season, maximum-minimum range.

^b Average monthly values during respective season.

^c Less than 50 mm of precipitation per month.

^d Less than 150 mm of precipitation per month.

We determined the phenology of all species for each sampling date by scoring leaf, flower, and fruit production. Quantitative estimation of leaf production was not possible, but the dates of leaf flush and leaf fall (all leaves dropped) were recorded for all individuals sampled. An individual was considered to be fully leafed between the dates of flower flushing and leaf fall. We counted total flower and fruit production for each individual except for *C. pentandra* for which flower and fruit production were estimated based on the percentage of cover of the crown (Fournier, 1974). Using flower counts, we estimated the number of individual was considered to be blooming if flower production on any sampling date exceeded 10% of total flower production for that individual. Flowering period was divided into (1) beginning, <50% of the population blooming; and (3) end, <50% of the population that matured fruits for each sampling date.

Intraspecific comparisons in flowering phenology-To determine if the intensity and duration of the dry season affect the flowering phenology of bombacaceous species, we compared intraspecific flowering overlap between study sites in different geographic locations with different precipitation regimes. Flowering overlap was estimated by pairwise comparisons of flowering intensity between sites. Flowering intensity for each species was determined as the percentage of trees flowering at each sampling date. Flowering overlap was estimated for C. pentandra between all three sites and for C. aesculifolia between Chamela-Cuixmala and Guanacaste. Flowering overlap was also estimated for Pseudobombax septenatum between Guanacaste and Osa. An overlap index (Pianka, 1973) was calculated for each species comparing flowering intensity between all pairwise combinations of sites. To determine if flowering overlap indexes differed statistically from random expectations (i.e., Pianka's index differed statistically form zero), a null model analysis was used to compare the mean overlap index with 1000 randomly simulated values (Kochmer and Handel, 1986; Wright and Calderón, 1995). Simulated values were generated by randomly sampling flowering intensities between dates for each species while maintaining the overall length of the flowering season constant. Flowering overlap was considered to occur when the observed index was larger than 95% of the 1000 simulated values. All calculations were performed using the EcoSim 7.0 computer program for null model analysis in community ecology (Gotelli and Entsminger, 2001).

To study the variation in flowering and fruiting of *C. pentandra*, which has been described as having a supra-annual flowering cycle, we estimated the variation in reproduction by comparing the number of trees flowering over three years (1999, 2000, 2001) at the three study sites (except for Osa in 1999). Logistic regressions (Stokes et al., 2000) were used to determine the effect of site and year on flowering and fruiting probability. Site and year were used as the independent variables, and the response variables were the number of trees in flower and the number of trees that matured fruits.

Interspecific comparisons in flowering phenology—To determine the importance of pollinator competition in bombacaceous trees, we compared interspecific flowering overlap between different species within each study site. Pianka's index (Pianka, 1973; Pleasants, 1990) was used to assess flowering overlap between all pairwise combinations of species co-occurring within

each site. The level of significance of Pianka's index was determined by the same procedure and assumptions previously described for intraspecific comparisons.

Estimation of pollinator use of floral resources-To estimate the importance of bombacaceous species in the diet of frugivorous and nectarivorous bats in Chamela-Cuixmala, mist-net sampling was conducted every 2 wk during the flowering of bombacaceous plants (November 2000 through June 2001). One 12-m and one 9-m mist net were placed in natural corridors in areas near bombacaceous species in flower and were opened for 4 h beginning at dusk. We collected pollen samples from the bodies and faces of all frugivorous and nectarivorous species captured using the glycerine-fucsin gelatin technique of Beattie (1971). For individuals that had no pollen on their bodies, fecal samples were collected. Each fecal sample was mixed with 2.5 mL of 70% alcohol and slides were made with this material. Pollen was identified using pollen keys (Lozano-García and Martínez-Hernández, 1990; Roubick and Moreno, 1991) and our own reference collection, developed during the study from flowers in the region that previously had been described as batpollinated or flowers that appeared to have bat-syndrome characteristics. All species of pollen were identified for each gelatin and fecal sample, and the most common was considered the principal pollen for that sample. In all cases, the most common pollen accounted for more than 80% of the pollen grains in the sample.

RESULTS

Effect of intensity and dry season duration on phenology (intraspecific variation)—Average annual rainfall for the three years studied was 550 mm in Chamela-Cuixmala, 1227 mm in Guanacaste, and 3539 mm in Osa. The dry season lasted from November through June in Chamela with an average of 6.9 mm of precipitation per month (Table 1, Fig. 1e), while the dry season lasted from December through April in Guanacaste with an average of 0.3 mm of precipitation per month (Table 1, Fig. 2e). The seasonal moist forest of Osa had a dry season of 4 mo and received more rainfall over this period (118.3 mm/mo) than the drier sites of Chamela-Cuixmala and Guanacaste (Table 1, Fig. 3c).

In spite of the differences in dry season duration and average annual rainfall, intraspecific comparisons indicate that the distribution of flowering over time for *C. pentandra* was the same at the three study sites (Pianka's index 0.9530, P < 0.001). Similarly, *Pseduobombax septenatum* flowered at approximately the same time in Guanacaste and Osa (Pianka's index 0.9516, P < 0.001). In contrast to this pattern, *C. aesculifolia* flowered later in Chamela-Cuixmala than in Guanacaste (Pianka's index 0.3815, P < 0.467), but at both sites this was the last species of Bombacaceae to flower at the end of the dry season.

In addition to studying intraspecific phenological patterns of trees of the family Bombacaceae at the three sites during 1 yr,



Fig. 1. (a–d) Phenological patterns of vegetative and reproductive parts of bombacaceous species and (e) total precipitation in the Chamela-Cuixmala Biosphere Reserve, Mexico. Percentage of individuals with flowers (_____), leaves (_____), and fruits (_____) was calculated every 15 d from September 2000 to April 2001. Arrows indicate peak flowering for each species, calculated as the period with the greatest percentage of individuals in peak flower.

we compared the flowering frequency and fruit production of individuals of *C. pentandra* at the three sites for three consecutive years (1999–2001). *Ceiba pentandra* had a supra-annual blooming frequency. The percentage of individuals that flowered and matured fruits of *C. pentandra* varied depending on the site and the year (Table 2). The greatest reproductive success was observed in 1999 at Chamela-Cuixmala when approximately 100% of the individuals matured fruits. The lowest reproductive success was recorded in Guanacaste in 2000, when only 21% of the studied individuals matured fruits, and in Osa in 2001, when only 21% matured fruits. A logistic regression analysis (Stokes et al., 2000) shows that *C. pentandra* differed in flowering frequency at the three sites over the years. During the three years studied, individual trees at Chamela-Cuixmala tended to flower more frequently than trees in Guanacaste (odds ratio of the probability of flowering = 8.4, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 3.26-21.73) and Osa (odds ratio = 7.87, 95% CI: 3.10-20.00). However, there are no differ-



Fig. 2. (a–d) Phenological patterns of vegetative and reproductive parts of bombacaceous species and (e) total precipitation in Guanacaste, Costa Rica. Percentage of individuals with flowers (______), leaves (______), and fruits (----) was calculated every 15 d from September 2000 to April 2001. Arrows indicate peak flowering for each species, calculated as the period with the greatest percentage of individuals in peak flower.

ences in the flowering frequency between Guanacaste and Osa (odds ratio = 1.06, 95% CI: 0.55-2.06). The probability of flowering in *C. pentandra* was greater in 1999 in Guanacaste and Chamela-Cuixmala compared to 2000 (odds ratio = 7.14, 95% CI: 2.32-25.00) and 2001 (odds ratio = 9.41, 95% CI: 3.14-25.22).

Interspecific variation—The flowering period of the four species studied in Chamela-Cuixmala was restricted to the dry season and the general pattern was sequential flowering (Fig. 1) from December through June. *Ceiba pentandra* bloomed

from December through February, with a peak in January (Fig. 1a). *Pseudobombax ellipticum* flowered from January through May, with a peak in the beginning of April (Fig. 1b). *Ceiba grandiflora* was the first species that began flowering in December, approximately 2 mo after the beginning of the dry season, and exhibited a pattern of extended flowering continuing until the middle of June; peak flowering for this species was observed in April (Fig. 1c). *Ceiba aesculifolia* was the last species to begin flowering in April through July, with a peak in May (Fig. 1d). The Pianka overlap index shows that flowering distribution is distinct between all pairs of species



Fig. 3. (a–b) Phenological patterns of vegetative and reproductive parts of bombacaceous species and (c) total precipitation in the Osa Peninsula, Costa Rica. Percentage of individuals with flowers (_____), leaves (_____), and fruits (-----) was calculated every 15 d from September 2000 to April 2001. Arrows indicate peak flowering for each species, calculated as the period with the greatest percentage of individuals in peak flower.

except for *C. grandiflora* and *P. ellpiticum*, which both show a peak in flowering the beginning of April (Table 3a).

The flowering period in Guanacaste also was restricted to the dry season, and species followed a sequential flowering pattern (Fig. 2), *Ceiba pentandra* flowered from December through February, with a peak in January (Fig. 2a). *Paquira quinata* flowered from January through May, with a peak in March (Fig. 2b). *Pseudobombax septenatum* was the first species to bloom at the beginning of the dry season in December and continued flowering until the end of the dry season;

TABLE 2. Number of *Ceiba pentandra* trees at each site that failed to flower (No flower), produced flowers (Flower), and matured fruits (Fruit) over years shown.

Site	No flower	Flower	Fruit	Total ^a
1999				
Guanacaste	4	18	16	22
Chamela	1	27	27	28
2000				
Guanacaste	23	10	7	33
Osa	16	13	13	29
Chamela	1	10	4	11
2001				
Guanacaste	22	13	10	35
Osa	47	20	14	67
Chamela	5	16	12	21

^a Total number of trees includes the categories No flower and Flower, because all trees that matured fruits are a subgroup of the trees that also produced flowers.

blooming peaked in March (Fig. 2c). A few individuals of *C. aesculifolia* initiated flowering in December, but the peak flowering did not occur until May, towards the end of the dry season. Given the extended flowering period of *P. septenatum*, the Pianka overlap index shows overlapping in the flowering

TABLE 3. Observed pairwise flowering overlaps for four coexisting species in Chamela-Cuixmala, Mexico (a) and Guanacaste, Costa Rica (b) calculated using Pianka's (1973) overlap index. The number in parenthesis indicates the probability for the null hypothesis of no flowering overlap. Flowering overlap is indicated by values statistically larger than 0 (P < 0.05).

a)			
Species	Ceiba grandiflora	Ceiba aesculifolia	Ceiba pentandra
Ceiba aesculifolia	0.316		
	(0.776)		
Ceiba pentandra	0.570	0.012	
-	(0.080)	(0.960)	
Pseudobombax ellipticum	0.840	0.432	0.211
_	(0.004)	(0.400)	(0.710)
b)			
Species	Ceiba pentandra	Pseudobombax septenatum	Pachira quinata
Pseudobombax septenatum	0.899		
	(0.001)		
Pachira quinata	0.502	0.762	
*	(0.367)	(0.045)	
Ceiba aesculifolia	0.253	0.411	0.766
v	(0.970)	(0.815)	(0.081)

Тав	le 4.	Species of pollen found	on the bodies a	and in fecal sa	imples from a	nectarivorous b	oats (Leptonycter	is curasoae/	Glossophage	a soricina ^a)
	from	November 2000 through	June 2001 in th	ne Chamela-Cu	iixmala Biosj	phere Reserve,	Jalisco, Mexico	. Numbers i	ndicate the	quantity of
	samp	les with that species as the	e most common	pollen each me	onth. Asterisl	s indicate othe	er months during	which each	species was	consumed.
	Num	bers in boldface type indic	ate the most im	portant species	each month.					

Agavaceae $A. colmana$ $9/0^{\circ}$ $27/0$ $2/0$ $1/0$ Amaranthaceae Species 1 $0/1$ Bignoniaceae $0/1$ <i>Crescentia alata</i> $0/1$ Bombacaceae $0/1$ <i>Celba aesculifolia</i> $0/1$ <i>C. grandifora</i> $20/0$ $54/0$ $4/1$ $3/0$ $11/6$ $86/7$ $1/0$ $2/0$ <i>C. grandifora</i> $20/0$ $54/0$ $4/1$ $3/0$ $11/6$ $86/7$ $1/0$ $2/0$ C. grandifora $20/0$ $54/0$ $4/1$ $3/0$ $4/0$ $4/0$ $0/2$ $0/3$ Castaceae $4/0$ $1/0$ $3/0$ $4/0$ $4/0$ $0/2$ $0/3$ Castaceae $4/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $0/1$ $0/1$ <i>Combretaceae</i> $0/0$ $2/0$ $21/1$ $9/1$ $2/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$	Family and species of pollen	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June
A. colimana 9/0° 27.0 2/0 1/0 Amaranthaceae Species 1 0/1 Species 1 0/1 Bignoniaceae 621 Crescentia alata 621 Bombacceae 621 Ceiba asscuifolia 106/34 23/15 C. grandifora 20/0 54/0 4/1 3/0 11/6 86/7 1/0 2/0 Pseudobmbax elipticum 21/0 77/0 120/0 1/1 0/2 0/3 Cascaceae 4/0 1/0 3/0 4/0 4/0 0/2 0/3 Casalpinaceae 102/0 4/0 1/0 3/0 1/0 1/0 2/0 Combretaceae 1/0 1/1 1/0	Agavaceae								
Amaranthaceae $0/1$ Species I $0/1$ Bignoniaceae 621 Crescentia alata 621 Bombacaceae $106/34$ $23/15$ C. grandifora $20/0$ $54/0$ $4/1$ $3/0$ $11/6$ $86/7$ $1/0$ $2/0$ C. grandifora $20/0$ $54/0$ $4/1$ $3/0$ $10/6$ $86/7$ $1/0$ $2/0$ C. grandifora $20/0$ $54/0$ $4/1$ $3/0$ $10/0$ $1/1$ $0/2$ $0/3$ Cataceae $4/0$ $1/0$ $3/0$ $4/0$ $4/0$ $0/2$ $0/3$ Casalpinaceae Bungulata $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $0/1$ $*$ $ -$ <	A. colimana	9/0ª	27/0	2/0	1/0				
Species 1 0/1 Bignoniaceae 621 Bombacaceae 621 Ceisca aesculifolia 106/34 23/15 C. grandifora 20/0 54/0 4/1 3/0 11/6 86/7 1/0 2/0 C. grandifora 20/0 54/0 4/1 3/0 11/6 86/7 1/0 2/0 C. grandifora 20/0 54/0 4/1 3/0 1/0 1/0 2/0 <t< td=""><td>Amaranthaceae</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Amaranthaceae								
Bignoniaceae 6/21 Crescentia alata 6/21 Bombacaceae 106/34 23/15 C.ejza aesculifolia 106/34 23/15 C.grandiffora 20/0 54/0 4/1 3/0 11/6 86/7 1/0 2/0 Pseudobombax elipticum 21/0 75/3 0/2 1/0 1/1 0/2 0/3 Cactaceae 4/0 1/0 3/0 4/0 4/0 0/2 0/3 Casalpinaceae 100 1/0 3/0 4/0 4/0 0/2 0/3 Casalpinaceae 100 1/0 3/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 Casalpinaceae 100 1/1 1/0 </td <td>Species 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0/1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Species 1						0/1		
Crescentia alata 6/21 Bombacaceae	Bignoniaceae								
Bombacaceae 106/34 23/15 Ceiba aesculifolia 20/0 54/0 4/1 3/0 11/6 86/7 1/0 2/0 C. grandifora 20/0 54/0 4/1 3/0 11/6 86/7 1/0 2/0 C. pentandra 21/0 77/0 120/0 1/1 0/2 0/3 Cacaceae 4/0 1/0 3/0 4/0 4/0 0/2 0/3 Casalpinaceae Bauhinia pauletia 102/0 4/0 1/0 0/1 * * Combretareae * 1/0 1/1 1/0 *	Crescentia alata								6/21
Ceiba aesculifolia 106/34 23/15 C. grandiffora 20/0 54/0 4/1 3/0 11/6 86/7 1/0 2/0 C. grandiffora 20/0 54/0 4/1 3/0 11/6 86/7 1/0 2/0 Pseudobombax elipticum 21/0 77/0 120/0 1/1 0/2 0/3 Cataceae 4/0 1/0 3/0 4/0 4/0 0/2 0/3 Cataceae 4/0 1/0 3/0 4/0 4/0 0/2 0/3 Cataceae 4/0 1/0	Bombacaceae								
C. grandulora 200 54/0 4/1 5/0 10 2/0 C. pertundra 75/3 0/2 0/2 0/2 0/3 Pseudobombax elipticum 21/0 77/0 120/0 1/1 0/2 0/3 Cactaceae 4/0 1/0 3/0 4/0 4/0 0/2 0/3 Cactaceae 4/0 1/0 3/0 4/0 4/0 0/2 0/3 Cactaceae 4/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 0/1 0/2 0/3 Casealpinaceae 1/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 0/1 1/0 0/2 0/3 Casealpinaceae 1/0	Ceiba aesculifolia	20/0	54/0	4/1	2/0	11/6	9617	106/34	23 /15
Pseudobombax elipticum $21/0$ $77/0$ $120/0$ $1/1$ $0/2$ Cactaceae $4/0$ $1/0$ $3/0$ $4/0$ $0/2$ $0/3$ Cactaceae $4/0$ $1/0$ $3/0$ $4/0$ $4/0$ $0/2$ $0/3$ Cactaceae $Bauhinia pauletia$ $102/0$ $4/0$ $1/0$ $0/1$ $0/2$ $0/3$ Cassalpinaceae $Baugulata$ $1/0$ $15/1$ $13/0$ $1/0$ $0/2$ $0/3$ Combretureae $1/0$ $15/1$ $13/0$ $1/0$ $*$ $ -$	C. granaljora C. pentandra	20/0	54/0	4/1 75/3	0/ 2	11/0	00/7	1/0	2/0
Cactaceae 4/0 1/0 3/0 4/0 4/0 0/2 0/3 Caesalpinaceae Bauhinia pauletia 102/0 4/0 1/0 1/0 1/0 0/1 8 Bauhinia pauletia 1/0 1/5/1 13/0 1/0	Pseudobombax elipticum			21/0	77 /0	120 /0	1/1	0/2	
CaesalpinaceaeBauhinia pauletia102/04/0B. ungulata1/015/113/01/0Combretaceae $1/0$ 0/1*Convovulaceae $1/0$ 0/1*Ipomea ampullacea2/021/19/12/0Cucurbitaceae $2/0$ 21/19/12/0Cucurbita argyirosperma $6/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ Minosaceae $1/0$ $4/0$ $4/0$ Moraceae $4/0$ $4/0$ 0 Moraceae $1/0$ 0 $0/1$ Moraceae $1/0$ 0 $0/12$ Moraceae $0/3$ $0/0$ $0/1$ $0/1$ Moraceae $0/12$ $0/13$ $0/27$	Cactaceae	4/0		1/0	3/0	4/0	4/0	0/2	0/3
Bailinia pauletia 102/0 4/0 B. ungulata 1/0 15/1 13/0 1/0 Combretaceae $1/0$ 0/1 * Convovulaceae $1/0$ 0/1 * Ipomea ampullacea $2/0$ $21/1$ $9/1$ $2/0$ Cucurbitaceae $2/0$ $21/1$ $9/1$ $2/0$ Cucurbita argyirosperma $6/0$ $1/0$ $7/0$ $7/0$ Mimosaceae $1/0$ $4/0$ $4/0$ $4/0$ Moraceae $3/0$	Caesalpinaceae								
B. ungulata $1/0$ $15/1$ $13/0$ $1/0$ Combretaceae $1/0$ $0/1$ *Convovulaceae $1/0$ $0/1$ *Ipomea ampullacea $2/0$ $21/1$ $9/1$ $2/0$ Cucurbita argyirosperma $6/0$ $2/0$ $1/0$ Mimosaceae $1/0$ $1/0$ $4/0$ Mimosaceae $4/0$ $4/0$ Moraceae $4/0$ $4/0$ Moraceae $2/0$ $1/0$ Brosimum alicastrum $2/0$ $2/0$ Sterculiaceae $1/0$ $1/0$ Moraceae $1/0$ $1/0$ Moraceae $0/3$ $0/0$ Oplien in sample $0/3$ $0/0$ O/1 $0/1$ $0/0$ $0/21$ O/13 $0/27$	Bauhinia pauletia	102 /0	4/0						
Combretaceae $1/0$ $0/1$ $*$ Convorulaceae $2/0$ $2/0$ Ipomea ampullacea $2/0$ $2/0$ Cucurbita argyirosperma $6/0$ $1/0$ Mimosaceae $1/0$ $1/0$ Albizia occidentalis Calliandra formosa Inga vera $2/0$ Moraceae $4/0$ Brosimum alicastrum Helicteres baruensis $2/0$ Sterculiaceae $1/0$ $*$ Moraceae $0/3$ $0/0$ $0/10$ $0/10$ $0/21$ $0/12$ $0/13$ $0/21$ $0/13$	B. ungulata	1/0	15/1	13/0	1/0				
Combretum sp. $1/0$ $0/1$ *Convovulaceae $2/0$ $21/1$ $9/1$ $2/0$ Ipomea ampullacea $2/0$ $21/1$ $9/1$ $2/0$ Cucurbitaceae $6/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ Mimosaceae $1/0$ $1/0$ $4/0$ Albizia occidentalis Calliandra formosa Inga vera $2/0$ $4/0$ Moraceae $4/0$ $4/0$ $1/0$ Brosimun alicastrum Helicteres baruensis $1/0$ $1/0$ $*$ No pollen in sample $0/3$ $0/0$ $0/1$ $0/1$ $0/0$ $0/12$ $0/13$ $0/27$	Combretaceae								
Convoulaceae $2/0$ $21/1$ $9/1$ $2/0$ Cucurbitaceae $6/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ Minosaceae $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ Albizia occidentalis Calliandra formosa Inga vera $2/0$ $1/0$ Moraceae $4/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ Moraceae $4/0$ $0/12$ Moraceae $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ Moraceae $1/0$ <t< td=""><td>Combretum sp.</td><td></td><td></td><td>1/0</td><td>0/1</td><td></td><td>*</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Combretum sp.			1/0	0/1		*		
Ipomea ampullacea 2/0 21/1 9/1 2/0 Cucurbita cagyirosperma 6/0	Convovulaceae								
Cucurbita argyirosperma 6/0 Mimosaceae 1/0 Albizia occidentalis 1/0 Calliandra formosa 2/0 Inga vera 4/0 Moraceae 4/0 Brosimum alicastrum 2/0 Sterculiaceae 0/12 Helicteres baruensis 11/0 0/3 0/0 0/1 0/0 No pollen in sample 0/3 0/0 0/1 0/1	Ipomea ampullacea	2/0	21/1	9/1			2/0		
Cucurbita argyirosperma 6/0 Mimosaceae 1/0 Albizia occidentalis 1/0 Calliandra formosa 2/0 Inga vera 4/0 Moraceae 4/0 Brosimum alicastrum 2/0 Sterculiaceae 0/12 Helicteres baruensis 11/0 No pollen in sample 0/3 0/0 0/1 0/0 0/21 0/13 0/27	Cucurbitaceae								
Mimosaceae Albizia occidentalis 1/0 Calliandra formosa 2/0 Inga vera 4/0 Moraceae 4/0 Brosimum alicastrum 2/0 Sterculiaceae 1/0 Helicteres baruensis 11/0 0/3 0/0 0/1 0/0 No pollen in sample 0/3 0/0 0/1 0/0	Cucurbita argyirosperma	6/0							
Albizia occidentalis 1/0 Calliandra formosa 2/0 Inga vera 4/0 Moraceae 4/0 Brosimum alicastrum 2/0 Sterculiaceae 11/0 Helicteres baruensis 11/0 No pollen in sample 0/3 0/3 0/0 0/1 0/0 0/1 0/1 0/12 0/13 0/2 0/13	Mimosaceae								
Calliandra formosa 2/0 Inga vera 4/0 Moraceae 3 Brosimum alicastrum 2/0 Sterculiaceae 11/0 Helicteres baruensis 11/0 No pollen in sample 0/3 0/1 0/1 0/1 0/0 0/12 0/13 0/27	Albizia occidentalis						1/0		
Inga vera 4/0 Moraceae Brosimum alicastrum 2/0 Sterculiaceae Helicteres baruensis 11/0 11/0 1/0 No pollen in sample 0/3 0/1 0/1 0/1 0/0 0/12	Calliandra formosa	2/0				4/0			
Moraceae 2/0 Brosimum alicastrum 2/0 Sterculiaceae 0/12 Helicteres baruensis 11/0 1/0 * 0/12 No pollen in sample 0/3 0/0 0/1 0/1 0/0 0/21 0/13 0/27	Ingu veru					4/0			
Brownian alcustrum 2/0 Sterculiaceae Helicteres baruensis 11/0 1/0 * 0/12 No pollen in sample 0/3 0/0 0/1 0/1 0/0 0/21 0/13 0/27	Procimum aligastrum	2/0							
Stercultaceae 11/0 1/0 * 0/12 No pollen in sample 0/3 0/0 0/1 0/1 0/0 0/21 0/13 0/27		2/0							
No pollen in sample 0/3 0/0 0/1 0/1 0/0 0/21 0/13 0/27	Sterculiaceae	11/0	1/0	*					0/12
No pollen in sample $0/3$ $0/0$ $0/1$ $0/1$ $0/0$ $0/21$ $0/13$ $0/27$	neucleres baruensis	11/0	1/0	0./1	0/1	0.10	0/21	0/12	0/12
Number of samples $159/3$ $122/2$ $126/6$ $85/4$ $139/6$ $92/30$ $107/51$ $31/78$	No pollen in sample	0/3 159/3	0/0	0/1 126/6	0/1 85/4	0/0 139/6	0/21 92/30	0/13	0/27/ 31/78

^a Numbers in data field separated by solidus refer to these bat species.

distribution of this species with *C. pentandra* and *P. quinata* (Table 3b). All other pairs of species are distinct and show different distributions in their peak flowering.

Similar to the pattern observed in Chamela-Cuixmala and in Guanacaste, in Osa *C. pentandra* flowered from December through February with a peak in January (Fig. 3a). *Pseudobombax septenatum* flowered from December through May with a peak in March (Fig. 3b). Flowering of *P. septenatum* overlapped with the flowering distribution of *C. pentandra* (Pianka's index 0.791, P < 0.05) at this site.

Seasonal pollinator use of floral resources—In Chamela-Cuixmala, pollen of bombacaceous species was not found on any of the frugivourous species sampled: Artibeus intermedius (N = 84), A. jamaicensis (N = 416), A. lituratus (N = 30), A. phaeotis (N = 40), A. toltecus (N = 3), and Centurio senex (N = 9). Leptonycteris curasoae was the most important pollinator of bombacaceous trees at Chamela-Cuixmala, and Glossophaga soricina was the second most important (Table 4). Bombacaceous species were the principal pollen found in samples from L. curasoae during 8 mo of the year, comprising 13% of the samples in November, 44% in December, 79% in January, more than 90% from February to April, and 25% in June. Although *L. curasoae* consumed pollen from up to three species of bombacaceous trees during 1 mo, one species generally made up the majority of the samples. In November and December 100% of the bombacaceous samples were *Ceiba grandiflora*, in January 75% were *C. pentandra*, in February 96% were *Pseudobombax ellipticium*, in March 91% were *P. ellipticum*, in April 100% were *C. grandiflora*, in May 99% were *C. aesculifolia*, and in June 92% were *C. aesculifolia*.

DISCUSSION

Our study indicates that trees of the family Bombacaceae from three sites with different precipitation regimes abscised their leaves at the beginning of the dry season and flowered during the dry season (Figs. 1, 2, 3). Peak flowering of each species was temporally staggered during the dry season in Guanacaste and Chamela-Cuixmala. These results first indicate that species of the family Bombacaceae respond to a general proximate environmental signal associated with the dry season July 2003]

for leaf abscission. Second, the date and period of flowering and fruiting of each species was similar between sites with different dry season intensity and duration, indicating that fixed precipitation levels did not trigger flowering in these species. Third, the same species flowered at similar times in Mexico and Costa Rica, supporting one of the predictions of the phylogenetic hypothesis that historical constraints may be affecting phenological patterns (Kochmer and Handel, 1986; Wright and Calderón, 1995). Finally, flowering between tree species within different sites had little overlap, with the exception of C. grandiflora in Chamela and P. septenatum in Guanacaste, suggesting that competition for pollinators is a strong selective force that influences the staggered flowering pattern within this family. This selective pressure may have caused divergence in flowering time between species of similar taxa, thus modifying historical constraints related to this trait.

Effect of intensity and dry season duration on phenology (intraspecific variation)—The intraspecific comparisons showed that the same species flowered at similar times between sites in spite of different rainfall regimes. Flowering of C. pentandra initiated in December in Chamela-Cuixmala and in Guanacaste. In Chamela-Cuixmala this was approximately 3 mo after the dry season began, while in Guanacaste this was only about 1 mo after the beginning of the dry season. Similarly, in Osa C. pentandra began flowering in December (immediately after the beginning of the dry season) even though average monthly rainfall contrasted considerably with Chamela-Cuixmala and Guanacaste (Table 1, Figs. 1-3). The flowering pattern of C. pentandra is supra-annual in tropical wet forests, where individual trees have flowering and fruiting cycles with several years between blooming (Frankie et al., 1974; Newstrom et al., 1994; Gribel et al., 1999). This pattern is more common in Costa Rica, but it is not the case in Mexico, where trees flowered and set fruit almost every year (Table 2). In addition, there is annual variation in reproductive success between sites. However, regardless of the reproductive regularity of individuals, C. pentandra initiated flowering during a similar period of the year at the three sites.

Similarly, flowering began at the same time in Guanacaste and Osa for P. septenatum (i.e., December). Peak flowering of C. aesculifolia occurred in May at Chamela and in Guanacaste, and it was the last species of Bombacaceae to flower at both sites (i.e., end of the dry season). Several phenological studies have concluded that changes in water availability from shifts in precipitation regimes and soil moisture are the essential proximate causes affecting phenological patterns (Opler et al., 1976; Reich and Borchert, 1984; Borchert, 1994). However, few of these studies have compared the same species in contrasting environments. Our data suggest that the flowering period of bombacaceous species is not determined by precipitation. Moreover, levels of soil moisture between these sites vary greatly. In Osa, the most superficial levels of soil remain saturated during the wet season and the first months of the dry season (December and January), and the water deficit is 0 or very small during this period (Gómez and Herrera, 1985). In contrast, in the dry forest of Guanacaste there is a significant level of water deficit in the beginning of the dry season (Gómez and Herrera, 1985), and in the case of Chamela-Cuixmala soil water content is completely dry from January through June (Galicia et al., 1999). Because bombacaceous species have the ability to store water in their trunks (Wangaard et al., 1954; Borchert, 1994), intensity and duration of the dry season

and soil water content do not appear to restrict flowering to a particular period during the dry season.

Interspecific variation—The interspecific comparisons within sites showed a pattern of sequential flowering with the exception of C. grandiflora in Chamela-Cuixmala and P. septenatum in Guanacaste (Figs. 1-3). In the case of C. grandiflora, the extended flowering period can be explained by a relatively low number of flowers produced each day (Quesada et al., 2003), thereby distributing the reproductive effort over a longer period of time and producing an overlap with other species. Pseudobombax septenatum also demonstrated an extended flowering period with fewer flowers produced at the beginning and the end of the flowering period; however, unlike C. grandiflora, a massive flowering occurred in the middle of the dry season. Although P. septenatum overlapped with C. pentandra at the beginning and with P. quinatum at the end, the massive peak of flowering occurred between the peaks of these other two species (Fig. 2).

Several evolutionary hypotheses have been proposed to explain staggered flowering of plants. One of the first ideas to be suggested was that plants with the same pollinators will avoid competition through staggered flowering and thus will increase their reproductive success by attracting more pollinators (Robertson, 1895). This hypothesis has been supported by empirical evidence that establishes a relationship between the staggered flowering patterns of plants with their hummingbird pollinators (Stiles, 1975; Feinsinger, 1978). Another hypothesis states that staggered flowering avoids the transfer of interspecific pollen that may cause pollen loss, reduction of receptive stigma surfaces, and overall lower reproductive success (Thomson et al., 1981). These hypotheses are not mutually exclusive, and both may partially explain the staggered flowering observed in bombacaceous species. Therefore, competition for pollinators and avoidance of interspecific pollen deposition are important ultimate factors that will selectively favor staggered flowering of species within the same family having the same pollinators.

Another factor that may affect phenological patterns in the bombacaceous species studied is timing of seed dispersal. Most of the species matured fruits shortly after flowering and dispersed their seeds during the dry season both in Costa Rica and Mexico, occurring at the best time for wind dispersal (i.e., dry and windy). The only exception is *C. aesculifolia*, which flowered at the end of the dry season both in Guanacaste and Chamela and dehisced mature fruits during the following dry season (Fig. 2). This is the only Neotropical bombacaceous species with a fruiting pattern that belongs to a previously described group of "dry season fruiters" (Frankie et al., 1974). This pattern suggests that seed dispersal may be an important ultimate factor that constrains the flowering period of these bombacaceous trees.

The species in the family Bombacaceae used in our study have been classified within the functional group of deciduous trees with low-density wood and high-stem water storage (Borchert, 1994). According to this classification, water deficits trigger leaf shedding followed by flowering for prolonged periods. Our results are not consistent with this hypothesis. Although all species of trees of the family Bombacaceae shed leaves at the beginning of the dry season, flowering and fruiting patterns are staggered throughout the dry season in the dry forests of Mexico and Costa Rica. Therefore, the loss of leaves is likely to be correlated with the relative high minimum leaf water potential and low-density wood of these species (Holbrook et al., 1995), but it is not directly involved in triggering the sequence of flowering or fruiting. Inter- and intraspecific variation in flowering and fruiting is consistent for most tree species at both sites. Wright and Cornejo (1990) showed that even when soil water potential is maintained at field capacity during the dry season, the timing of leaf fall of deciduous trees of low-density wood and high-density wood is not affected.

Seasonal pollinator use of floral resources—Our data suggest that competition for pollinators is, perhaps, one of the most important ultimate factors affecting the phenological patterns observed in the family Bombacaceae in the Neotropics. In Chamela-Cuixmala, trees of the family Bombacaceae were a main resource for the nectar-specialist Leptonycteris curasoae during 8 mo, and this bat generally concentrated on one bombacaceous species each month. The more generalist nectarivore, *Glossophaga soricina*, utilized bombacaceous species during 6 mo and also concentrated on one bombacaceous species each month. The sequential use of bombacaceous species by bats coincided with the flowering phenology of these species. These data support the idea that flowering phenology is staggered to avoid competition for pollinators as has been suggested by various authors (Janzen, 1967; Stiles, 1975; Feinsinger, 1978). It is especially important for bombacaceous species to avoid competition for pollinators since they have been described principally as self-incompatible (Hamrick and Murawski, 1990; Gribell et al., 1999; Quesada et al., 2001, 2003) and therefore are completely dependent upon pollinators to achieve fruit set. Furthermore, greater pollinator attraction during peak flowering in species with synchronous blooming (i.e., Ceiba pentandra, C. aesculifolia, Pachira quinata, and Pseudobombax septenatum) favors higher levels of outcrossing (Stephenson, 1982; Quesada et al., 2003).

In conclusion, our study suggests that phenological patterns of the Bombacaceae family in Neotropical dry forests are mainly constrained by adaptive selective pressures associated with competition for pollinators and phylogenetic membership. Abiotic factors related to precipitation and soil water content appear to be regulating leaf flush and abscission of leaves, but the principal causes of flowering are related to ultimate factors associated with competition for pollinators. This study is the first that evaluates the phenological pattern of species and genera of the same family at different latitudes in a similar life zone. Future studies should evaluate other taxonomically related groups found in similar habitats but different regions to further test the conclusions of our study.

LITERATURE CITED

- AIDE, T. M. 1993. Patterns of leaf development and herbivory in a tropical understory community. *Ecology* 74: 455–466.
- ALLEN, P. H. 1956. The rain forest of Golfo Dulce. University of Florida Press, Gainesville, Florida, USA.
- ÁLVAREZ, T., AND L. GONZÁLEZ-QUINTERO. 1970. Análisis polínico del contenido gástrico de murciélagos Glossophaginae de México. Instituto Politécnico Nacional. Anales de la Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biológicas 18: 137–165.
- ALVERSON, W. S. 1994. New species and combinations of *Catostema* and *Pachira* (Bombacaceae) from Venezuelan Guayana. *Novon* 4: 3–8.
- APPANAH, S. 1985. General flowering in the climax rain forests of south-east Asia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 1: 225–240.
- ASHTON, P. S., T. J. GIVNISH, AND S. APPANAH. 1988. Staggered flowering in the Dipterocarpaceae: new insights into floral induction and the evolution of mast fruiting in the aseasonal tropics. *American Naturalist* 231: 44–66.

- AUGSPURGER, C. K. 1981. Reproductive synchrony of a tropical shrub: experimental studies on effects of pollinator and seed predators on *Hybanthus prunifolius* (Violaceae). *Ecology* 62: 775–788.
- AVENDAÑO-REYES, S. 1998. Flora de Veracruz. Instituto de Ecología, Xalapa, Veracruz, México.
- BEATTIE, A. J. 1971. A technique for the study of insect-borne pollen. Pan Pacific Entomologist 47: 82.
- BORCHERT, R. 1994. Soil and stem water storage determine phenology and distribution of tropical dry forest trees. *Ecology* 75: 1437–1449.
- BULLOCK, S. H. 1995. Plant reproduction in neotropical dry forest. In S. H. Bullock, H. A. Mooney, and E. Medina [eds.], Seasonally dry tropical forests, 277–303. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- BULLOCK, S. H., AND J. A. SOLÍS-MAGALLANES. 1990. Phenology of canopy trees of a tropical deciduous forest in México. *Biotropica* 22: 22–35.
- CASCANTE-MARÍN, A. 1997. La familia Bombacaceae (Mavales) en Costa Rica. Brenesia 47–48: 17–36.
- CEBALLOS, G., AND A. GARCÍA. 1995. Conserving neotropical biodiversity: the role of dry forests in western Mexico. *Conservation Biology* 9: 1349– 1356.
- COLEY, P. D., AND J. A. BARONE. 1996. Herbivory and plant defenses in tropical forests. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 27: 305–335.
- EGUIARTE, L., C. MARTÍNEZ DEL RIO, AND H. T. ARITA. 1987. El néctar y polen como recurso: el papel ecológico de los visitantes de las flores de *Pseudobombax ellipticum* (H.B.K.) Dugand. *Biotropica* 19: 74–82.
- FEINSINGER, P. 1978. Ecological interactions between plants and hummingbirds in successional tropical communities. *Ecological Monographs* 48: 269–287.
- FOURNIER, L. A. 1974. Método cuantitativo para la medición de características fenológicas en árboles. *Turrialba* 24: 422–423.
- FRANKIE, G. W., H. G. BAKER, AND P. A. OPLER. 1974. Comparative phenological studies of trees in tropical wet and dry forests in the lowlands of Costa Rica. *Journal of Ecology* 62: 881–899.
- FUCHS, E. J., J. A. LOBO, AND M. QUESADA. 2003. Effects of forest fragmentation and flowering phenology on the reproductive success and mating patterns on the tropical dry forest tree, *Pachira quinata* (Bombacaceae). *Conservation Biology* 17: 149–157.
- GALICIA, L., J. LÓPEZ-BLANCO, A. E. ZARCO-ARISTA, V. FILIPS, AND F. GARCÍA-OLIVA. 1999. The relationship between solar radiation interception and soil water content in a tropical deciduous forest in Mexico. *Catena* 36: 153–164.
- GENTRY, A. H. 1974. Flowering phenology and diversity in tropical Bignoniaceae. *Biotropica* 6: 64–68.
- GÓMEZ, L. D., AND W. HERRERA. 1985. Vegetación y Clima de Costa Rica. EUNED, San José, Costa Rica.
- GOTELLI, N. J., AND G. L. ENTSMINGER. 2001. EcoSim: Null models software for ecology. Version 7.0. Acquired Intelligence and Kesey-Bear, Burlington, Vermont, USA. http://homepages.together.net/~gentsmin/ecosim.htm.
- GRIBEL, R., E. P. GIBBS, AND A. L. QUEIROZ. 1999. Flowering phenology and pollination biology of *Ceiba pentandra* (Bombacaceae) in central Amazonia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 15: 247–263.
- HABER, W. A., AND G. W. FRANKIE. 1989. A tropical hawkmoth community: Costa Rican dry forest Sphingidae. *Biotropica* 21: 155–172.
- HAMRICK, J. L., AND D. A. MURAWSKI. 1990. The breeding structure of tropical tree populations. *Plant Species Biology* 5: 157–165.
- HARTSHORN, G. S. 1983. Plants: Introduction. In D. H. Janzen [ed.], Costa Rican natural history, 118–157. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, USA.
- HEITHAUS, E. R., T. H. FLEMING, AND P. A. OPLER. 1975. Foraging patterns and resource utilization in seven species of bats in a seasonal tropical forest. *Ecology* 56: 841–854.
- HOLBROOK, N. M., J. L. WHITEBECK, AND H. A. MOONEY. 1995. Drought responses of neotropical dry forest tress. *In S. H. Bullock*, H. A. Mooney, and E. Medina [eds.], Seasonally dry tropical forests, 243–276. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- HOLDRIDGE, L. R. 1969. Life zone ecology. Centro Científico Tropical, San José, Costa Rica.
- JANZEN, D. H. 1967. Synchronization of sexual reproduction of trees within the dry season in Central America. *Evolution* 21: 620–637.
- KOCHMER, J. P., AND S. N. HANDEL. 1986. Constraints and competition in the evolution of flowering phenology. *Ecological Monographs* 56: 303– 325.
- LEOPOLD, A. C. 1951. Photoperiodism in plants. *Quarterly Review of Biology* 26: 247–263.

July 2003]

- LOTT, E. J. 1993. Annotated checklist of the vascular flora of the Chamela bay region Jalisco, Mexico. *Occasional Papers of the California Academy of Sciences* 148: 1–60.
- LOZANO-GARCÍA, M. S., AND E. MARTÍNEZ HERNÁNDEZ. 1990. Palinología de la estación de biología tropical Los Tuxtlas. Parte I Especies arbóreas. Instituto de Geología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Publicaciones Especiales del Instituto de Biología 3.
- MALDONADO, T., J. BRAVO, G. CASTRO-S., Q. JIMENEZ-M., O. SABORIO, AND L. PANIAGUA-C. 1995. Evaluación ecológica rápida región del Tempisque Guanacaste, Costa Rica. Fundación Neotrópica, Centro de Estudios Ambientales y Políticas, San José, Costa Rica.
- MARQUIS, R. J. 1988. Phenological variation in the neotropical understory shrub *Piper arieianum*: causes and consequences. *Ecology* 69: 1552– 1565.
- MURALI, K. S., AND R. SUKUMAR. 1994. Reproductive phenology of a tropical dry forest in Mudumalai, southern India. *Journal of Ecology* 82: 759–767.
- MURRAY, K. G., P. FEINSINGER, W. H. BUSBY, Y. B. LINHART, J. H. BEACH, AND S. KINSMAN. 1987. Evaluation of character displacement among plants in two tropical pollination guilds. *Ecology* 68: 1283–1293.
- NEWSTROM, L. E., G. W. FRANKIE, H. G. BAKER, AND R. K. COLWELL. 1994. Diversity of long-term flowering patterns. *In L. W. McDade*, K. S. Bawa, and H. A. Hespenheide [eds.], La Selva: ecology and natural history of a Neotropical rain forest, 142–160. Chicago University Press, Chicago, Illinois, USA.
- OPLER, P. A., G. W. FRANKIE, AND H. G. BAKER. 1976. Rainfall as a factor in the release, timing, and synchronization of anthesis by tropical trees and shrubs. *Journal of Biogeography* 3: 231–236.
- PENNINGTON, T. D., AND J. SARUKHÁN. 1998. Árboles tropicales de México. Instituto de Ecología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Cuidad Universitaria, Distrito Federal, México.
- PIANKA, E. R. 1973. The structure of lizard communities. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 4: 53–74.
- PLEASANTS, J. M. 1990. Null model tests for competitive displacement: the fallacy of not focusing on the whole community. *Ecology* 71: 1078–1084.
- QUESADA, F. J., Q. JIMÉNEZ, N. ZAMORA, R. AGUILAR, AND J. GONZÁLEZ. 1997. Arboles de la Península de Osa. Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad, Heredia, Costa Rica.
- QUESADA, M., E. J. FUCHS., AND J. A. LOBO. 2001. Pollen load size, reproductive success and progeny kinship of natural pollinated flowers of the tropical dry forest tree, *Pachira quinata* (Bombacaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 88: 2113–2118.
- QUESADA, M., K. E. STONER, V. ROSAS-GUERRERO, C. PALACIOS-GUEVARA, AND J. A. LOBO. 2003. Effects of habitat disruption on the activity of nectarivorous bats (Chiroptera: Phyllostomidae) in a dry tropical forest: implications for the reproductive success of the Neotropical tree *Ceiba* grandiflora. Oecologia 135: 400–406.
- QUIROZ, D. L., M. S. XELHUANTZI, AND M. C. ZAMORA. 1983. Análisis palinológico del contenido gastrointestinal de los murciélagos *Glossophaga soricina y Leptonycteris yerbabuenae* de las Grutas de Juxcatlán, Guerrero. Tesis colectiva para obtener el título de Biólogo. Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biológicas, Distrito Federal, México.
- REICH, P. B., AND R. BORCHERT. 1984. Water stress and tree phenology in a tropical dry forest in the lowlands of Costa Rica. *Journal of Ecology* 72: 61–74.

- RIVERA, G., S. ELLIOTT, L. S. CALDAS, G. NICOLOSSI, V. T. R. CORADIN, AND R. BORCHERT. 2002. Increasing day-length induces spring flushing of tropical dry forest trees in the absence of rain. *Trees* 16: 445–456.
- ROBERTSON, C. 1895. The philosophy of flower seasons, and the phenological relations of the entomophilous flora and the anthophilous insect fauna. *American Naturalist* 29: 97–117.
- ROSE, J. N. 1895. Contributions from the U.S. National Herbarium 1:293– 393.
- ROUBICK, D. W., AND J. E. MORENO. 1991. Pollen and spores of Barro Colorado Island. Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, Missouri, USA.
- SAKAI, S., K. MOMOSE, T. YUMOTO, T. NAGAMITSU, H. NAGAMASU, A. A. HAMID, AND T. NAKASIIIZUKA. 1999. Plant reproductive phenology over four years including an episode of general flowering in a lowland dipterocarp forest, Sarwak, Malaysia. *American Journal of Botany* 86: 1414–1436.
- SNOW, D. W. 1965. A possible selective factor in the evolution of fruiting seasons in tropical forest. *Oikos* 15: 274–281.
- STEPHENSON, A. G. 1982. When does outcrossing occur in a mass-flowering plant? Evolution 36: 762–767.
- STILES, F. G. 1975. Ecology, flowering phenology, and hummingbird pollination of some Costa Rican *Heliconia* species. *Ecology* 56: 285–301.
- STILES, F. G. 1977. Coadapted competitors: the flowering seasons of hummingbird-pollinated plants in a tropical forest. *Science* 198: 1170–1178.
- STOKES, M. E., C. S. DAVIS, AND G. G. KOCH. 2000. Categorical data analysis using the SAS system, 2nd ed. SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA.
- STONER, K. E., M. QUESADA, V. ROSAS-GUERRERO, AND J. A. LOBO. 2002. Effects of forest fragmentation on Colima long-nosed bat foraging in tropical dry forest in Jalisco, Mexico. *Biotropica* 34: 462–467.
- STONER, K. E., K. A. O.-SALAZAR, R. C. R.-FERNÁNDEZ, AND M. QUESADA. 2003. Population dynamics, reproduction, and diet of the lesser longnosed bat (*Leptonycteris curasoae*) in Jalisco, Mexico: implications for conservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 12: 357–373.
- TALLAK NILSEN, E., AND W. H. MULLER. 1981. Phenology of the droughtdeciduous shrub *Lotus scoparius*: climatic controls and adaptive significance. *Ecological Monographs* 51: 323–341.
- THOMSON, J. D., B. J. ANDREWS, AND R. C. PLOWRIGHT. 1981. The effect of foreign pollen on ovule fertilization in *Diervilla lonicera* (Caprifoliaceae). *New Phytologist* 90: 777–783.
- VAN SCHAIK, C. P. 1986. Phenological changes in a Sumatran rain forest. Journal of Tropical Ecology 2: 327–347.
- VAN SCHAIK, C. P., J. W. TERBORGH, AND S. J. WRIGHT. 1993. The phenology of tropical forests: adaptive significance and consequences for primary consumers. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 24: 353–377.
- WANGAARD, F. F., A. KOEHLER, AND A. F. MUSCHLER. 1954. Properties and uses of tropical woods, IV. *Tropical Woods* 99: 1–187.
- WILLIAMS-LINERA, G. 1997. Phenology of deciduous and broad leaf evergreen tree species in a Mexican tropical lower montane forest. *Global Ecology and Biogeography Letters* 6: 115–127.
- WRIGHT, S. J. 1991. Seasonal drought and the phenology of understory shrubs in a tropical moist forest. *Ecology* 72: 1643–1657.
- WRIGHT, S. J., AND O. CALDERÓN. 1995. Phylogenetic patterns among tropical flowering phenologies. *Journal of Ecology* 83: 937–948.
- WRIGHT, S. J., AND F. H. CORNEJO. 1990. Seasonal drought and leaf fall in a tropical forest. *Ecology* 71: 1165–1175.
- WRIGHT, S. J., AND C. P. VAN SCHAIK. 1994. Light and the phenology of tropical trees. *American Naturalist* 143: 192–199.