

DENDROCHRONOLOGICAL RESPONSES  
TO THE  
24 OCTOBER 1992 TORNADO  
AT  
SUNSET CRATER, NORTHERN ARIZONA

Paul R. Sheppard<sup>1,\*</sup>

Elizabeth May<sup>1</sup>

Michael Ort<sup>2</sup>

Kirk Anderson<sup>3</sup>

Mark Elson<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Tree-Ring Research, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ 85721

<sup>2</sup> Departments of Environmental Sciences and Geology, Northern Arizona University,  
Flagstaff AZ 86011

<sup>3</sup> Bilby Research Center, Northern Arizona University, Box 6013, Flagstaff, AZ 86011

<sup>4</sup> Desert Archaeology, Inc., 3975 N. Tucson Blvd., Tucson, AZ 85716

\* Corresponding author: (520) 621-6474, fax: (520) 621-8229, sheppard@ltrr.arizona.edu

## ABSTRACT

This paper documents tree-ring responses to a historic tornado and re-evaluates prehistoric tree-ring changes seen in archaeological wood of Wupatki Ruin used to date the 11<sup>th</sup> century eruption of Sunset Crater. The historic tornado occurred at Sunset Crater, northern Arizona, on 24 October 1992, and trees within areas damaged by the tornado survived the event and continue living today. The objectives of this research were to document their ring-growth changes and to consider the possibility of tornado as a candidate disturbance agent for the prehistoric ring-growth changes of Wupatki. About half of the trees sampled within areas damaged by the tornado show one or more dendrochronological responses to that event, including ring-width release, reaction wood, ring-width suppression, and/or reduced latewood. Tornado damage is not a likely candidate for having caused the abrupt changes at A.D. 1064-65 seen at Wupatki. However, five living ponderosa pines at Sunset Crater experienced abrupt and severe suppressions in ring growth beginning decades ago and continuing today. No single disturbance agent seems obvious for causing these growth suppressions, but further research is merited to find the cause of these modern suppressions in order to propose a new candidate explanation for the A.D. 1064 suppression.

Keywords: dendrochronology, tornado, Sunset Crater, Wupatki, wind effects

## INTRODUCTION

This paper documents tree-ring responses to a historic tornado and re-evaluates prehistoric tree-ring responses used to date the 11<sup>th</sup> century eruption of Sunset Crater. Past dendrochronological research on tree-ring responses to wind has focused on persistent, long-term wind regimes and has shown reaction-wood responses along axes parallel to predominant wind directions (Robertson 1986, 1991; Wade and Hewson 1979). Other forest ecological research has shown long-term changes in forest species composition and stand structure due to tornadoes (Glitzenstein and Harcombe 1988; Peterson 2000a; Harrington and Bluhm 2001). However, little research has been published showing tree-ring responses to tornadoes, that is, long-term growth changes due to short-term, intense winds.

The tornado of interest occurred at Sunset Crater, northern Arizona (Figure 1a, b), at 2:30 PM on 24 October 1992 (Arizona Daily Sun Newspaper, 25 October 1992). The tornado first touched ground south of Sunset Crater and then moved north by northwest towards O'Leary Peak (Figure 1c) before dissipating. Many trees were uprooted and killed by the brief but intense winds of the tornado, but other trees growing within the swaths damaged by the tornado survived the event and continue living today, now 13 years later.

Given that the dating of this tornado is historically well-documented (Arizona Storms Database 2004), it can serve as a test case for dendrochronologically calibrating ring-growth changes in trees that were buffeted—but not killed—by high winds. Such a calibration could serve to identify tornadoes of the past. Enough time has passed since the 1992 tornado at Sunset Crater to assess its impact on ring growth of trees that survived it, so the primary objective of this research was to document those ring-growth changes.

This calibration could also establish tornadoes as a candidate disturbance in tree-ring samples showing changes in prehistoric ring growth. A goal of this research is to re-evaluate the cause of long-term ring-growth changes in prehistoric wood samples recov-

ered from the nearby Wupatki Ruin, situated 20 km northeast of Sunset Crater (Figure 1b). Two ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) and one Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) roof beams recovered from this site show abrupt reductions in both absolute ring width and in relative interannual variability beginning with the A.D. 1065 ring (Figure 2). These ring-growth changes have been interpreted as evidence of, and dating for, the eruption of Sunset Crater in A.D. 1064 (Smiley 1958), which has been a highly significant date in both geological and archaeological research in the United States Southwest (Colton 1960; Pilles 1979, 1987; Holm and Moore 1987; Downum 1988; Duffield 1997). However, recently this date has been questioned (Boston 1995; Elson et al. 2002; Elson and Ort 2003), in part because the number of samples is small and the provenance of the analyzed trees is not known. Wupatki, at an elevation of only 1,495 m asl, is too hot and dry to support the growth of pine and fir, both today and in the prehistoric past (Sullivan and Downum 1991, page 272); presently, the closest that these species are found relative to Wupatki is 10-20 km for ponderosa pine and 20-30 km for Douglas-fir, to the southwest for both species. Therefore, these trees could have come from anywhere in the higher elevations, possibly from areas not heavily affected by ash and cinder fall from the eruption of Sunset Crater. Additionally, while reductions in ring width and interannual variability could have been caused by volcanism, ring-growth changes like these can also be caused by other events of an ecological (e.g., Fritts and Swetnam 1989), geological (e.g., Jacoby 2000), or even ethnobotanical (e.g., Swetnam 1984) nature.

Tornadoes have not been considered as a candidate explanation for the 1064 event because tornadoes are not common at high elevation (Ahrens 1988) and more specifically the 1992 tornado is the only known historical event of its kind in the Flagstaff area (Crisp 1996). Therefore, no historic tornado had ever occurred in the Flagstaff area by 1958, the year of publication of the A.D. 1064 date for Sunset Crater. The secondary objective of this research was to consider the possibility of tornado as a candidate disturbance agent for the ring-growth changes of the Wupatki specimens.

## METHODS

The study area is located about 25 km northeast of Flagstaff, Arizona (Figure 1b) at an elevation of about 2200 m asl. Forest vegetation is dominated by ponderosa pine with some quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*). Soils are Typic Ustorthents, or weakly developed, deep, coarse, excessively drained cinders (Miller et al. 1992). Climate is cool and dry as typified by the meteorological record of Sunset Crater National Monument (monthly data from 1970 to 2004 obtained online from the National Climatic Data Center, NOAA), which has a mean annual temperature and precipitation of 8° C and 432 mm.

Field sampling was done in the summer and fall of 2004, or about 12 years after the tornado. Living trees in three areas damaged by the tornado (Figure 1c) were increment cored to obtain their tree-ring records. Additionally, trees from a separate site 2 km west of the tornado-affected swaths (Figure 1c) were sampled to serve as control specimens for comparison. All trees sampled were ponderosa pine and all were living in 1992 as well as at the time of this fieldwork.

Field procedures followed typical methods of dendrochronology (Phipps 1985). Trees were selected based on their extent of damage by the tornado: some had crowns damaged or broken, others were completely knocked over but still living, and others showed no apparent damage. Trees were cored using 5.1-mm-diameter borers. At least one radius was collected, but occasionally more radii were collected in order to determine ring-growth patterns all around the trunk (Sheppard and Jacoby 1989). No effort was made to collect radii along specific sides of the trees, so the aspects of samples were effectively randomized.

Laboratory treatment of the samples also followed typical methods of dendrochronology (Swetnam et al. 1985). Cores were air dried, glued into protective sticks to display a transverse surface, and then sanded to enhance the visibility of the rings (Yamaguchi and Brunstein 1991). Samples were visually crossdated by matching patterns of wide and

narrow rings across trees (Douglass 1941), and then the widths of dated rings were measured to  $\pm 0.01$  mm (Robinson and Evans 1980).

Analytical reduction of the data mostly followed standard procedures. Accuracy of dating and measurement was checked by cross-correlation analysis (Program COFECHA, Holmes 1983; Grissino-Mayer 2002). Dating accuracy was also verified by cross-correlation with pre-existing ponderosa pine chronologies developed independently by others for the same general area (Grissino-Mayer and Fritts 1997).

Ring-width index series were developed in different ways depending on whether the trees were affected by the tornado. For trees sampled from outside the swaths damaged by the tornado, ring-width series were detrended using all rings from pith to 2004. For trees that were within the tornado swaths and that showed ring-growth changes beginning in 1993 or later, ring-growth series were detrended using only the rings from pith to 1992. These fit lines were then extrapolated to 2004 (Mutch and Swetnam 1995; Sheppard and White 1995). In all cases, index values were calculated as ratios of observed widths divided by predicted widths (Fritts 1976). For the control chronology of trees growing well away from the tornado swaths, the resultant index series were averaged into a site chronology using the arithmetic mean (Cook 1985). To demonstrate and quantify ring-growth responses to the tornado, index values were compared both pre- and post-event in trees affected by the tornado as well as between affected vs. nonaffected trees.

## RESULTS

Trees sampled well away from the swaths affected by the tornado crossdate quite strongly. Among themselves, their average correlation with their own chronology is  $r = +0.77$ , and their site chronology correlates strongly with pre-existing ponderosa pine chronologies from nearby. Since 1992, only 1996 and 2002 were well below average (Figure 3a), in both cases due to winter rainfall preceding those growing seasons that was also well below-average. Indeed, the winter preceding the 2002 growing season (October

through April) was the driest on record for Sunset Crater National Monument, and none of the control trees grew a ring for that year, resulting in an index value of zero for 2002.

#### Tree-Ring Responses to the October 1992 Tornado

Eighty-three living trees were sampled within the tornado swaths, 47 of which (57%) show one or more dendrochronological responses to the 1992 tornado. These event-response trees were mostly young and/or small, averaging 60 years in age and 17 cm in diameter. Young/small trees apparently were able to withstand the high winds without losing substantial amounts of crown or being fully uprooted, confirming other research showing that older, larger trees are more susceptible to being killed by high winds (Peterson 2000b).

Ring-growth responses to the tornado include four different changes:

*Growth Release:* 57% of response trees show a release in radial growth (wider rings) beginning with 1993 and continuing even now, 12 years after the tornado (Figure 4a). These wide rings are composed of normal tracheids, as opposed to radially elongated tracheids, for example. The post-tornado growth rate has been about twice that of the 10 rings immediately prior to and including 1992, and the ring-width index series of these trees show a clear and abrupt positive departure from expected growth beginning in 1993 (Figure 3b). This high-growth response is quite different from the control chronology for the same time period (Figure 3a).

*Reaction Wood:* 28% of response trees show reaction wood beginning with 1993 and continuing even now. Half of these trees show reaction wood for the full rings (Figure 4b), while the other half show reaction wood only in the latewood portions and/or for only the first couple of rings after the tornado (Figure 4c). Perhaps the trees with partial reaction wood were not buffeted as much and they returned to normal growth more quickly. Many reaction-wood rings are also abnormally wide, which is generally common for reaction wood (Douglass 1940b). Many of these trees were tilted but not up-

rooted, and reaction-wood responses occurred primarily on the bottom of the now-horizontal trees, less so on the sides or top.

*Suppressed Growth:* 6% of response trees show some varying degree of suppressed growth after the tornado. One of these trees has just four unusually narrow rings, from 1993 to 1996, as compared to growth of the control chronology (Figure 4d and 3c). Another tree shows highly suppressed ring growth ever since 1993 (Figure 4e and 3d).

*Reduced Latewood:* 45% of response trees show an unusually thin latewood band for just the 1993 ring (Figure 4f). Considering the 6-year period from 1990 to 1995, latewood averaged 22% of total ring width in the control trees, with 1993 being no different from neighboring rings (Figure 5a). By contrast, latewood was only 10% of the 1993 ring in these event-response trees (Figure 5b). Additionally, the total width of the 1993 ring in these event response trees was typically below average, though not as dramatically as for latewood width.

#### Long-Term Ring-Width Suppression

Another tree-ring growth anomaly not related to the 1992 tornado was observed during this research. Five still-living ponderosa pines experienced abrupt and severe suppressions in ring growth beginning decades ago and continuing today. These long-term growth suppressions do not start synchronously across trees. As an example, one of these pines switched from a mode of normal ring growth to one of highly suppressed growth beginning in 1930 (Figure 6a). Ring growth since 1930 does not crossdate, but the average growth rate since 1930 ( $0.11 \text{ mm} \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$ ) was much lower than previous growth as well as lower than growth predicted for post-1930 (Figure 6b).

## DISCUSSION

### Tree-Ring Responses to Tornado

Ring-width release due to the October 1992 tornado near Sunset Crater could have been caused by either or both of two mechanisms. First, because the tornado uprooted and killed many trees (Crisp 1996), the surviving trees are now living in micro-sites with

less inter-tree competition for light and/or soil resources than existed before. For example, based on tree counts from a 1997 aerial photo, stand density within the southernmost tornado swath averages 17 trees • hectare<sup>-1</sup> (sd = 6.2, n = 10 one-hectare plots), which converts to an average nearest-neighbor distance of 24 m. By contrast, stand density just outside the southernmost tornado swath averages 23 trees • hectare<sup>-1</sup> (sd = 4.5, n = 10 one-hectare plots), which converts to an average nearest-neighbor distance of 21 m. This difference is statistically significant ( $p = 0.02$ ).

A logical tree-growth response to reduced competition could be increased growth by survivors, similar to how selective thinning of some trees can enhance growth of the remaining trees during intensive forest management (Ffolliott et al. 2000). However, a drawback to this rationale is that the ponderosa pine forest around Sunset Crater was already sparse. As a reference comparison, the average stand density within a ponderosa pine forest located 40 km south of Flagstaff was 1900 trees • hectare<sup>-1</sup> (Covington and Moore 1994), which converts to an average nearest-neighbor distance of 2.3 m, much denser than the forest around Sunset Crater. Irrespective of tornado disturbance, inter-tree competition has probably not been an important limiting factor for tree growth at Sunset Crater.

Second, the increased radial growth since 1993 might be an example of a response called thigmomorphogenesis, that is, a physiomorphological response to a short-term perturbation such as high winds (Jaffe and Forbes 1993). Radial growth increases have been shown for various tree species due to mechanical treatments that mimic the intense buffeting of trees that occurs during a tornado (Telewski and Jaffe 1981, 1986a, 1986b; Valinger et al. 1995; Telewski and Pruyn 1998; Pruyn et al. 2000). This ring response does not necessarily involve greatly altered tracheids, but instead appears to be due to creating more tracheids than normal (Telewski 1989). Affected trees are not necessarily tilted permanently by these short-term perturbations, and the ring-width release might involve a

hormonal mechanism as opposed to being a gravitropic response (Takahashi and Jaffe 1984; Telewski and Jaffe 1986c).

Reaction wood has been defined as tissues formed by leaning trees in response to changed gravitational stress (Dadswell and Wardrop 1949). Compression wood, the version of reaction wood that occurs in conifers, is readily distinguishable by a darker, often orangish color of the rings, the round shape and thick walls of the tracheids (van de Sijde et al. 1985), and the lack of a sharp demarcation between earlywood and latewood portions within a ring (Dadswell and Wardrop 1949). All of these characteristics are evident in the reaction-wood specimens of Sunset Crater. Chemically, reaction wood is typically high in lignin (Baillères et al. 1997), which might be at least part of the cause of the distinguishable color of the wood (Kwon et al. 2001). Compression wood has been used to dendrochronologically date forest disturbance events (including wind) that have tilted trees (Pillow 1931; Akachuku 1991; Wimmer 2002).

A functional purpose of reaction wood is to re-attain a vertical upright stance by trees that have been tilted (Scurfield 1973; Kwon et al. 2001), and the amount of lean needed to prompt a tree into forming reaction wood can be as little as just 2° off vertical (Timell 1986, page 1156). However, compression wood has been found in trees with no lean whatsoever (Timell 1986, page 1132), and the phenomenon of spiral compression wood, where the compression tracheids exist all around a tree stem but in different growth rings (Douglass 1940*a*; Telewski 1988), also illustrates how the function of compression wood is not always to re-attain an upright vertical stance from a uni-directional lean. Although a few of the tornado survivors are leaning, some all the way to horizontal, most of the trees at Sunset Crater are not leaning discernibly. Therefore, although compression wood was an identifiable dendrochronological reaction to the 1992 tornado, the presence of reaction wood generally can be due to other reasons and by itself might not be a conclusive indicator of a tornado.

Suppressed rings can also be difficult to conclusively interpret, as many forest disturbance agents can cause suppressed ring growth in surviving trees. Physical trauma can reduce tree uptake of soil moisture and/or photosynthesis and thereby cause suppressed growth in damaged trees (Shroder 1980). Ring-growth suppression was not the dominant response to 1992 tornado, and using suppressed growth alone as a signal for past tornados would be of questionable utility.

Reduced latewood growth in conifers has been associated with partial defoliation of trees. Latewood development is often more sensitive to defoliation than total ring width (Krause and Morin 1995). For example, defoliation by sawfly and budworm altered the structure and anatomy of latewood bands in fir, spruces, and pine (Filion and Cournoyer 1995; Gross 1992; Jardon et al. 1994). Similarly, the June 1908 explosion in Tunguska, Siberia altered latewood morphology in spruce and larch (Vaganov et al. 2004); one effect of that explosion was thought to be partial defoliation, perhaps due to excessive exposure to the heat of the explosion. Interestingly, the response of altered latewood to defoliation often lasts for only one ring, a pattern that was shown by many Sunset Crater pines.

Based on eyewitness accounts, the Sunset Crater tornado did not result in noticeable defoliation *per se* in surviving trees (personal communication, U.S. Forest Service workers who managed the clean up after the tornado, 2005). However, experimentation with winds of  $11 \text{ m} \cdot \text{sec}^{-1}$  resulted in significantly damaged needles due to surface abrasion, either from airborne particulate impaction or from the needles violently rubbing against each other (van Gardingen et al. 1991). Given that tornado winds peak out at speeds much higher than  $11 \text{ m} \cdot \text{sec}^{-1}$ , and given that the ground surface at Sunset Crater is light, rough-textured cinders, it could have been that needles were substantially damaged on pines that show thin latewood in 1993. Such wind damage might have effectively simulated defoliation without actually defoliating the trees.

### Decades-Long Suppression in Pine

The decades-long suppression observed in five sampled pines is pertinent to the general investigation of Sunset Crater. These very long-term suppressions most closely resemble the dendrochronological changes that were used to establish A.D. 1064 as the date of the eruption (Smiley 1958). No volcano eruption occurred at the time of these 20<sup>th</sup> century abrupt changes, and the task now is to determine what might have caused these suppressions, as that disturbance agent might become a candidate for explaining the tree-ring changes at A.D. 1064. Some options include the following:

- Earthquakes have caused very long-term suppressions for still-living trees that were physically damaged but not killed (Jacoby et al. 1988). However, those cases involved substantial loss of crown and/or perhaps severing of roots. The Sunset Crater trees do not show such severe external damage, and the onset dates of suppression at Sunset Crater do not correspond to any known, large earthquake in the vicinity.
- Root fungi have slowly reduced ring growth for decades before the affected trees finally die (Cherubini et al. 2002), but the Sunset Crater suppressions were abrupt and therefore do not exactly match the root fungus example.
- Regional, non-point source pollution can cause lengthy, wide-spread reductions in tree-ring growth (Graybill and Rose 1989; Grissino-Mayer and Fritts 1995). However, most of the long-term suppressions at Sunset crater predate putative pollution influxes to the area, and long-term suppression at Sunset Crater is not wide spread as only four sampled trees show it.
- Diffuse emission of magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> has affected tree growth (Cook et al. 2001), but that disturbance ultimately killed the trees involved (Farrar et al. 1995). Consequently, this response did not last for several decades. Furthermore, Sunset Crater trees with the decades-long suppression are growing close to trees without any suppression, and magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> presumably would have affected whole pockets or stands of trees.

At this point, no single disturbance agent seems obvious for causing the abrupt, long-term suppressions of ring growth at Sunset Crater.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The October 1992 tornado damaged trees in the Sunset Crater area, northern Arizona, and resulted in various tree-ring responses in surviving trees. These multiple dendrochronological signals could be used to date and study past tornadoes. However, reduced ring widths and low interannual variability were neither the dominant nor even the most-common response to the 1992 tornado at Sunset Crater, and thus tornado damage is not a likely candidate for having caused the abrupt changes seen at A.D. 1064-65 from beams of Wupatki Ruin.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank both the Coconino National Forest and the Sunset Crater National Monument for assistance from their staff. Víctor Peña and Robert Jones assisted in the field, and Frank Telewski assisted with interpretation of results. This research was funded in part by the National Science Foundation, Petrology and Geochemistry.

## REFERENCES CITED

- Ahrens, C.D. 1988. *Meteorology today*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. West Publishing Company, St. Paul, MN. 582 pp.
- Akachuku, A.E. 1001. Wood growth determined from growth ring analysis in red pine (*Pinus resinosa*) trees forced to lean by a hurricane. *IAWA Bull.* **12**(3): 263-274.
- Arizona Daily Sun Newspaper, October 25, 1992. Twisters, hail shake up area.
- Arizona Storms Database. 2004. [online]. Available from <http://ag2.calsnet.arizona.edu/cgi-bin/storms.cgi>.
- Baillères, H., Castan, M., Monties, B., Pollet, B., and Lapierre, C. 1996. Lignin structure in *Buxus sempervirens* reaction wood. *Phytochem.* **44**(1): 35-39.
- Boston, R.L. 1995. Electron microprobe sourcing of volcanic ash temper in Sunset Red ceramics. Masters thesis, Department of Anthropology, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff. 138 pp.
- Cherubini, P., Fontana, G., Rigling, D., Dobbertin, M., Brang, P., and Innes, J.L. 2002. Tree-life history prior to death: two fungal root pathogens affect tree-ring growth differently. *J. Ecol.* **90**: 839-850.
- Colton, H.S. 1960. *Black sand: prehistory in northern Arizona*. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque. 132 pp.
- Cook, A.C., Hainsworth, L.J., Sorey, M.L., Evans, W.C., and Southon, J.R. 2001. Radio-carbon studies of plant leaves and tree rings from Mammoth Mountain, CA: a long-term record of magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> release. *Chem. Geol.* **177**(1-2): 117-131.
- Cook, E.R. 1985. A time series analysis approach to tree ring standardization. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Arizona, Tucson. 171 pp.
- Covington, W.W., and Moore, M.M. 1994. Southwestern ponderosa pine forest structure: changes since Euro-American settlement. *J. For.* **92**(1): 39-47.

- Crisp, D.L. 1996. Monitoring of *Penstemon clutei* A. Nels. on tornado salvage. In Southwestern Rare and Endangered Plants: Proceedings of the Second Conference. Edited by J. Maschinski, H.J. Hammond, and L. Holter. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-GTR-283. pp. 243-246.
- Dadswell, H.E., and Waldrop, A.B. 1949. What is reaction wood? Australian For. **13**(1): 22-33.
- Douglass, A.E. 1940a. Examples of spiral compression wood. Tree-Ring Bull. **6**: 21-23.
- Douglass, A.E. 1940b. Compression wood and the recent chronology in Mesa Verde firs. Tree-Ring Bull. **6**: 23-25.
- Douglass, A.E. 1941. Crossdating in dendrochronology. J. For. **39**(10): 825-831.
- Downum, C.E. 1988. "One grand history": a critical review of Flagstaff archaeology, 1851-1988. Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of Arizona, Tucson. 529 pp.
- Duffield, W.A. 1997. Volcanoes of northern Arizona. Grand Canyon Association, Grand Canyon, AZ. 68 pp.
- Elson, M.D., and Ort, M.H. 2003. In the shadow of the volcano: recent research at Sunset Crater. Archaeol. Southwest **17**(1): 1-11.
- Elson, M.D., Ort, M.H., Hesse, S.J., and Duffield, W.A. 2002. Lava, corn, and ritual in the northern Southwest. Amer. Antiqu. **67**: 119-135.
- Farrar, C.D., Sorey, M.L., Evans, W.C., Howle, J.F., Kerr, B.D., Kennedy, B.M., King, C.-Y., and Southon, J.R. 1995. Forest-killing diffuse CO<sub>2</sub> emission at Mammoth Mountain as a sign of magmatic unrest. Nature **376**: 675-678.
- Ffolliott, P.F., Baker, Jr., M.B., and Gottfried, G.J. 2000. Heavy thinning of ponderosa pine stands: an Arizona case study. USDA Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station Research Paper RMRS-RP-22. 6 pp.

- Filion, L., and Cournoyer, L. 1995. Variation in wood structure of eastern larch defoliated by the larch sawfly in sub-arctic Quebec, Canada. *Can. J. For. Res.* **25**: 1263-1268.
- Fritts, H.C. 1976. *Tree rings and climate*. Academic Press, New York. 576 pp.
- Fritts, H.C., and Swetnam, T.W. 1989. Dendroecology: A tool for evaluating variations in past and present forest environments. *Adv. Ecol. Res.* **19**: 111-188.
- Glitzenstein, J.S., and Harcombe, P.A. 1988. Effects of the December 1983 tornado on forest vegetation of the Big Thicket, southeast Texas, U.S.A. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **25**: 269-290.
- Graybill, D.A., and Rose, M.R. 1989. Analysis of growth trends and variation in conifers from Arizona and New Mexico. *In* *Effects of air pollution on western forests*. Edited by R.K. Olson, and A.S. Lefohn. Air and Waste Management Association, Pittsburgh, PA. pp. 395-407.
- Grissino-Mayer, H.D. 2001. Evaluating crossdating accuracy: A manual and tutorial for the computer program COFECHA. *Tree-Ring Res.* **57**(2): 205-221.
- Grissino-Mayer, H.D., and Fritts, H.C. 1995. Dendroclimatology and dendroecology in the Pinaleno Mountains. *In* *Storm over a mountain island: conservation biology and the Mt. Graham affair*. Edited by C.A. Istock, and R.S. Hoffman. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ. pp. 100-120.
- Grissino-Mayer, H.D., and Fritts, H.C. 1997. The International Tree-Ring Data Bank: an enhanced global database serving the global scientific community. *The Holocene* **7**(2): 235-238.
- Gross, H.L. 1992. Impact analysis for a jack pine budworm infestation in Ontario. *Can. J. For. R.* **22**: 818-831.
- Harrington, T.B., and Bluhm, A.A. 2001. Tree regeneration responses to microsite characteristics following a severe tornado in the Georgia Piedmont, USA. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **140**: 265-275.

- Holm, R.F., and Moore, R.B. 1987. Holocene scoria cone and lava flows at Sunset Crater, northern Arizona. *In Geological Society of America centennial field guide-Rocky Mountain Section. Edited by S. Beus. Geological Society of America, Boulder, CO. pp. 393-397.*
- Holmes, R.L. 1983. Computer-assisted quality control in tree-ring dating and measurement. *Tree-Ring Bull.* **43**: 69-78.
- Jacoby, G.C. 2000. Dendrochronology. *In Quaternary geochronology: methods and applications. Edited by J.S. Noller, J.M. Sowers, and W.R. Lettis. American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C. pp. 11-20.*
- Jacoby, G.C., Sheppard, P.R., and Sieh, K.E. 1988. Irregular recurrence of large earthquakes along the San Andreas Fault: evidence from trees. *Science* **241**: 196-199.
- Jaffe, M.J., and Forbes, S. 1993. Thigmomorphogenesis: the effect of mechanical perturbation on plants. *Plant Growth Regul.* **12**: 313-324.
- Jardon, Y., Filion, L., and Cloutier. 1994. Tree-ring evidence for endemicity of the larch sawfly in North America. *Can. J. For. Res.* **24**: 742-747.
- Krause, C., and Morin, H. 1995. Impact of spruce budworm defoliation on the number of latewood tracheids in balsam fir and black spruce. *Can. J. For. Res.* **25**: 2029-2034.
- Kwon, M., Bedgar, D.L., Piastuch, W., Davin, L.B., Lewis, N.G. 2001. Induced compression wood formation in Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) in microgravity. *Phytochemistry* **57**: 847-857.
- Miller, G., Ambos, N., Bones, P., Reyher, D., Robertson, G., Scalzone, K., Steinke, R., and Subirge, T. 1992. Terrestrial ecosystem survey of the Coconino National Forest. USDA Forest Service, Washington, D.C.

- Mutch, L.S., and Swetnam, T.W. 1995. Effects of fire severity and climate on ring-width growth of giant sequoia after burning. *In* Proceedings: Symposium on Fire in Wilderness and Park Management, 30 March - 1 April 1993, Missoula, MT. *Edited by* J.K. Brown, R.W. Mutch, C.W. Spoon, and R.H. Wakimoto. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report INT-320. pp. 241-246.
- Peterson, C.J. 2000a. Catastrophic wind damage to North American forests and the potential impact of climate change. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* **62**: 287-311.
- Peterson, C.J. 2000b. Damage and recovery of tree species after two different tornadoes in the same old growth forest: a comparison of infrequent wind disturbances. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **135**: 237-252.
- Phipps, R.L. 1985. Collecting, preparing, crossdating, and measuring tree increment cores. US Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 85-4148. 48 pp.
- Pilles, P.J., Jr. 1979. Sunset Crater and the Sinagua: a new interpretation. *In* Volcanic activity and human ecology. *Edited by* P.D., Sheets, and D.K. Grayson. Academic Press, New York. pp. 459-485.
- Pilles, P.J., Jr. 1987. Hisatsinom: the ancient people of Sunset Crater. *In* Flagstaff, Arizona. *Edited by* E. Malotki, and M. Lomatuway'ma. Northland Press, Flagstaff, AZ. pp. 105-119.
- Pillow, M.Y. 1931. Compression wood records hurricane. *J. For.* **29**: 575-578.
- Pruyn, M.L., Ewers, III, B.J., and Telewski, F.W. 2000. Thigmomorphogenesis: changes in the morphology and mechanical properties of two *Populus* hybrids in response to mechanical perturbation. *Tree Phys.* **20**: 535-540.
- Robertson, A. 1986. Estimating mean windflow and hilly terrain from tamarack (*Larix laricina* (Du Roi) K. Koch) deformation. *Inter. J. Biometeor.* **30**(4): 333-349.
- Robertson, A. 1991. Centroid of wood density, bole eccentricity, and tree-ring width in relation to vector winds and wave forests. *Can. J. For. Res.* **21**: 73-82.

- Robinson, W.J., and Evans, R. 1980. A microcomputer-based tree-ring measuring system. *Tree-Ring Bull.* **40**: 59-64.
- Scurfield, G. 1973. Reaction wood: its structure and function. *Science* **179**: 647-655.
- Sheppard, P.R., and Jacoby, G.C. 1989. Application of tree-ring analysis to paleoseismology: two case studies. *Geol.* **17**: 226-229.
- Sheppard, P.R., and White, L.O. 1995. Tree-ring responses to the 1978 earthquake at Stephens Pass, northeastern California. *Geol.* **23**(2): 109-112.
- Shroder, Jr., J.F. 1980. Dendrogeomorphology: review and new techniques of tree-ring dating. *Progr. Phys. Geogr.* **4**: 161-188.
- Smiley, T.L. 1958. The geology and dating of Sunset Crater, Flagstaff, Arizona. *In* Guidebook of the Black Mesa Basin, Northeastern Arizona. *Edited by* R.Y. Anderson, and J.W. Harshberger. New Mexico Geological Society, Socorro. pp. 186-190.
- Sullivan, III, A.P., and Downum, C.E. 1991. Aridity, activity, and volcanic ash agriculture: a study of short-term prehistoric cultural-ecological dynamics. *World Archaeol.* **22**(3): 271-287.
- Swetnam, T.W. 1984. Peeled ponderosa pine trees: a record of inner bark utilization by Native Americans. *J. Ethnobiol.* **4**(2): 177-190.
- Swetnam, T.W., Thompson, M.A., and Sutherland, E.K. 1985. Using dendrochronology to measure radial growth of defoliated trees. *USDA Forest Service Agricultural Handbook 639*. pp. 39.
- Takahashi, H., and Jaffe, M.J. 1984. Thigmomorphogenesis: The relationship of mechanic perturbation to elicitor-like activity and ethylene production. *Physiol. Plantarum* **61**: 405-411.
- Telewski, F.W. 1988. Intra-annual spiral compression wood: a record of low-frequency gravitropic circumnutation movement in trees. *IAWA Bull.* **9**: 269-274.
- Telewski, F.W. 1989. Structure and function of flexure wood in *Abies fraseri*. *Tree Physiol.* **5**: 113-121.

- Telewski, F.W., and M.L. Pruyn. 1998. Thigmomorphogenesis: a dose response to flexing in *Ulmus americana* seedlings. *Tree Physiol.* **18**: 65-68.
- Telewski, F.W., and Jaffe, M.J. 1981. Thigmomorphogenesis: changes in the morphology and chemical composition induced by mechanical perturbation in 6-month-old *Pinus taeda* seedlings. *Can. J. For. Res.* **11**: 380-387.
- Telewski, F.W., and Jaffe, M.J. 1986a. Thigmomorphogenesis: Field and laboratory studies of *Abies fraseri* in response to wind or mechanical perturbation. *Physiol. Plantarum* **66**: 211-218.
- Telewski, F.W., and Jaffe, M.J. 1986b. Thigmomorphogenesis: Anatomical, morphological and mechanical analysis of genetically different sibs of *Pinus taeda* in response to mechanical perturbation. *Physiol. Plantarum* **66**: 219-226.
- Telewski, F.W., and Jaffe, M.J. 1986c. Thigmomorphogenesis: The role of ethylene in the response of *Pinus taeda* and *Abies fraseri* to mechanical perturbation. *Physiol. Plantarum* **66**: 227-233.
- Timell, T.E. 1986. Compression wood in gymnosperms, volume 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. pp. 709-1338.
- Vaganov, E.A., Hughes, M.K., Silkin, P.P., and Nesvetailo, V.D. 2004. The Tunguska event in 1908: Evidence from tree-ring anatomy. *Astrobiol.* **4**: 391-399.
- Valinger, E., Lundqvist, L., and Sundberg, B. 1995. Mechanical bending stress applied during dormancy and (or) growth stimulates stem diameter growth of Scots pine seedlings. *Can. J. For. Res.* **25**: 886-890.
- van der Sijde, H.A., Shaw, M.J.P., and van Wyk, G. 1985. Reaction wood in *Pinus taeda*—a preliminary report. *S. African For. J.* **133**: 27-32.
- van Gardingen, P.R., Grace, J., and Jeffree, C.E. 1991. Abrasive damage by wind to the needle surfaces of *Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr. and *Pinus sylvestris* L. *Plant, Cell, Environ.* **14**: 185-193.

- Wade, J.E., and Hewson, E.W. 1979. Trees as a local climatic wind indicator. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* **18**: 1182-1187.
- Wimmer, R. 2002. Wood anatomical features in tree-rings as indicators of environmental change. *Dendrochronologia* **20**: 21-36.
- Yamaguchi, D.K., and Brunstein, F.C. 1991. Special sanding films and sandpapers for surfacing narrow-ring increment cores. *Tree-Ring Bull.* **51**: 43-46.

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Figure 1: Site maps. (a) The state of Arizona, (b) regional detail north of Flagstaff, and (c) site detail near Sunset Crater. Filled irregular polygons denote the tornado swath areas and the filled square is the subsite where control trees were located.
- Figure 2: Evidence for environmental change in the Sunset Crater area at A.D. 1064-1065. (a) A beam sample from Wupatki (catalog number WPT12) showing an abrupt change in ring growth beginning in A.D. 1065 and (b) its ring-width series with a fit line. The fit line of the ring-width series is the average width of rings up to and including A.D. 1064, which was extrapolated through the rest of the series. Dots on the sample indicate decadal years, with the double dots indicating the A.D. 1050 year and triple dots indicating the A.D. 1100 year. Dashed line indicates A.D. 1065.
- Figure 3: Time series of Sunset Crater ring-width growth related to the 1992 tornado. (a) Control chronology composed of ponderosa pine located well away from tornado swaths, (b) ring-width release of sample in Figure 4a, (c) temporary ring-width suppression of sample in Figure 4d, (d) permanent ring-width suppression of sample in Figure 4e. Dashed lines indicate 1993.
- Figure 4: Examples of Sunset Crater ring-width responses to the 1992 tornado. (a) Increasing ring widths, (b) classic reaction (compression) wood for entire rings, (c) reaction (compression) wood evident only in the latewood portions of each ring, (d) temporary ring-width suppression followed by a return to normal growth, (e) permanent ring-width suppression, (f) thin latewood width relative to total ring width. Dots on the sample indicate decadal years, with the quadruple dots indicating the A.D. 2000 year.
- Figure 5: Time series of Sunset Crater percent latewood width relative to total ring width related to the 1992 tornado. (a) Control chronology composed of ponderosa

pinus located well away from the tornado swaths, and (b) reduced percent latewood for 1993. Dashed lines indicate 1993.

Figure 6: Very long-term suppression of radial tree growth. Ring growth on this still-living tree abruptly slowed down beginning in 1930 and has yet to recover. Dots on the sample indicate decadal years, with the triple dots indicating the A.D. 1900 year. Dashed line indicates 1930.











